



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10 091,982	03 06 2002	Abdalla Aly Naem	072219-0278272 (P04871-P0)	8054

33402 7590 08 07 2003

LAW OFFICES OF MARK C. PICKERING  
P.O. BOX 300  
PETALUMA, CA 94953

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

WILSON, CHRISTIAN D

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2824

DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/091,982	NAEM, ABDALLA ALY
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christian Wilson	2824	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

**THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION:**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ .

2a)  This action is **FINAL**.                    2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## **Disposition of Claims**

4)  Claim(s) 1-7,9-15 and 21 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1,10 and 21 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) 2-7,9 and 11-15 is/are objected to.

8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2002 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2,8.  
4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).       .  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6)  Other: search history.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bayraktaroglu.

Bayraktaroglu (US 5,496,755) discloses a method of making a dual-sided semiconductor device from a wafer with a first and second surface [Figure 31] and a dopant concentration 412 where the method comprises forming a layer of masking material on the first surface [column 5, lines 35-40], patterning the layer of masking material to expose a first region on the first surface, and forming an opening 494 in the wafer where the doped region is exposed by the opening in the wafer and the dopant concentration is greater than the concentration of the wafer [column 3, lines 37-45].

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 21 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bayraktaroglu in view of Gaul.

Regarding claim 21, Bayraktaroglu teaches a conductive layer **496** deposited in the opening. Gaul (US 5,814,889) teaches a conductive layer **137** which fills the opening [column 5, lines 5-8]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to fill the opening of Bayraktaroglu as taught by Gaul since filling the opening since filled contact holes allow for higher die density when surface mounting devices [column 1, lines 55-60].

Regarding claim 10, Bayraktaroglu further teaches a doped region which has a surface substantially planar with the second surface [Figure 3].

***Allowable Subject Matter***

5. Claims 2 – 7, 9, and 11 – 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: applicant discloses a method of forming a dual-sided semiconductor device with a step of forming a layer of masking material on the second surface of the wafer to expose a second region on the second surface of the wafer, and introducing a dopant into the wafer through the first and second openings.

***Conclusion***

7. A copy of the EAST search history is enclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,982  
Art Unit: 2824

Page 4

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 308-6265. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, 7:30 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (703) 308-2816. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0658.

Christian Wilson, Ph.D.  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2824

CDW  
July 28, 2003



RICHARD ELMS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800